



POSTER DISCUSSION PRESENTATION

Open Access

PD39 - Application of population pharmacokinetic modeling and simulation in the design of the optimal dose regime of rupatadine in children 2-5 year old children

Marta Valle^{1*}, Javier Estevez¹, Lisa Charlotte Martial¹, Eva Santamaria², Iñaki Izquierdo²

From 3rd Pediatric Allergy and Asthma Meeting (PAAM)
Athens, Greece. 17-19 October 2013

Background

Rupatadine is a second generation antihistamine H1 and antagonist of PAF for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and urticaria for which a new pediatric oral solution is now available for children between 6-11 y/o.

Objectives

1) To optimize the dose regime in children between 2 to 5 y/o old to reach similar plasma concentrations to children of 6-11 y/o with allergic rhinitis.

2) To build a new population pharmacokinetic (popPK) model in children including all ages (2-11 y/o) to evaluate if the proposed regimen, as a function of weight, is adequate to reach rupatadine exposure similar to adults and ≥ 12 y/o.

Methods

A popPK model was developed, using data from 6-11 y/o study (STD I) including 11 patients with full PK profile in allergic rhinitis. A second study (STD2) including 2-5 y/o was optimal designed based on the parameters estimated from STD I, assuming: inclusion of < 40 children, < 5 samples per child in the shortest time window. A final popPK model was built for children 2-11 years. Influence of different covariates on model parameters was also evaluated. PopPK modeling and simulation was performed in NONMEM and optimal design in WIN-POP software.

Results

The dose administered in STD II was 2.5 mg/kg (weight 10-25 kg) or 5 mg/kg (weight > 25 kg) and 3 samples per child were needed in a 2h time window. A two-compartmental model with first-order absorption and elimination where clearance depends on weight fitted the data for 2-11 y/o children. Mean (SD) estimates of parameters obtained by noncompartmental analysis of the steady-state simulated plasma concentrations for both subsets of children were similar: C_{max}, 2.54(1.26) vs 1.96(0.52) ng/mL; AUC, 10.74(3.09) vs 10.38(4.31) ng/mL/h; t_{1/2}, 12.28(3.09) vs 15.94(4.09), for children 6-11 y/o and children 2-5 y/o, respectively.

Conclusion

A popPK model for rupatadine was used in the design of a new clinical study. Rupatadine clearance in children 2-11 years increases with age. The used range of doses in children provides similar exposure to rupatadine to that associated with efficacy and safety in adults and adolescents ≥ 12 y/o.

Authors' details

¹Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain. ²Uriach, Barcelona, Spain.

Published: 28 February 2014

doi:10.1186/2045-7022-4-S1-P39

Cite this article as: Valle et al.: PD39 - Application of population pharmacokinetic modeling and simulation in the design of the optimal dose regime of rupatadine in children 2-5 year old children. *Clinical and Translational Allergy* 2014 **4**(Suppl 1):P39.

¹Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article